

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,744	11/20/2003	Felix M. Sciulli	340058.534D1	8795	
500 SEED INTELL	7590 07/24/2007 LECTUAL PROPERTY LA	AW GROUP PLLC	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AVE			PRONE, JASON D		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	A 08104	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA	1 70104		3724		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				DELIVERY MODE	
			07/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			·	//
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/717,744	SCIULLI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jason Prone	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	s
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuton are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tition. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 April 2007</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	•	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		er e	•
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No	•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· •	n received in this National Stag	e
•	application from the International	, , , ,	.k	
7,	See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ □ Intension	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No.	o(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: <u>A</u>	f Informal Patent Application Plan (1) A P	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warehime (5,782,673) in view of McDonnell et al. (5,591,184). See Appendix A.

In regards to claim 1, Warehime discloses the invention including a mixing tube comprising a body (472) having a bore extending there through along a longitudinal axis (138) and being formed to withstand the passage of a high-pressure fluid jet (Title), a collar (464), the collar being sized and capable of sliding upward through a bore of a cutting head (462) and having a terminal end surface of the collar substantially normal to the longitudinal axis to bottom out against a surface of a member in the bore of the cutting head substantially normal to the longitudinal axis to prevent the mixing tube from being inserted any further into the cutting head (top surface of 464 bottoms out against the bottom surface of 456. Both are normal to 138), and the collar having opposing ends spaced from opposing terminal ends of the mixing tube (468 and top surface of 464).

In regards to claims 4-6, Warehime discloses the outer surface of the collar is substantially cylindrical (464), the outer surface of the collar is substantially frustoconical (464), and the collar is surrounded by a nut (458).

Art Unit: 3724

However, in regards to claims 1 and 6, Warehime fails to disclose the collar is rigidly fixed to an outer surface of the mixing tube in an upper region of the tube prior to the mixing tube being installed in the jet system and the outer surface of the nut being threaded to engage a threaded inner surface of a cutting head.

McDonnell et al. teaches (using Appendix A) that it is old and well known in the art of fluid jet cutters to incorporate a collar that is rigidly fixed to an outer surface of the mixing tube in an upper region of the tube prior to the mixing tube being installed in the jet system (701) and the outer surface of the nut being threaded to engage a threaded inner surface of a cutting head (705). With regards to the collar, McDonnell et al. teaches it is old and well known for two commonly used parts of fluid jet cutters to be of a one-piece construction. Also, the frusto-conical portion of McDonnell et al. (701) is an equivalent to both the collar (464) of Warhime and the collar (58) in the instant application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide Warhime with a rigidly fixed collar/mixing tube, as taught by McDonnell et al., to allow for the manufacturers to produce one big part instead of two different smaller parts.

3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warehime in view McDonnell et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yie (4,555,872). Warehime in view of McDonnell et al. disclose the invention but fail to disclose the distance from a top surface of the mixing tube to a bottom surface of the collar is 0.02-2.0 inches and the wall thickness of the collar is 0.01-0.2 inches. Yie (4,555,872) discloses specific dimensions for a fluid jet apparatus (Columns 16-17,

Art Unit: 3724

example II). The support cone (60) with a passage (61) in Yie (4,555,872) is similar to inser (432) and passage (446) in Warehime and would, therefore, be on a similar order of size. Moreover, Yie (4,555,872) teaches a support cone/holder that has a diameter of 0.49 inches with a passage bore diameter of 0.15 inches. In view of the disclosed dimensions in Yie (4,555,872) and that the structure in Warehime and Yie (4,555,872) are on the same order, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have made the distance between the top surface of the mixing tube and a bottom surface of the collar in Warehime in view of McDonnell et al. 0.02-2.0 inches and the wall thickness of the collar 0.01-0.2 inches. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Additionally, to any degree that it can be argued that it is speculative that Warehime in view of McDonnell et al. is on the same order of size as the fluid jet apparatus in Yie (4,555,872), in view of their similarities in structure and function, such similarities in size would have been obvious to reproduce to meet established standards. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have provided Warhime in view McDonnell et al. with the size limitations, as suggested by Yie, to allow the tube/collar combination to fit inside an apparatus requiring specific dimensions.

Response to Arguments

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/717,744

Art Unit: 3724

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 19, 2007

Patent Examiner Jason Prone Art Unit 3724 T.C. 3700

Art Unit: 3724

Appendix A

